



Risk Management Strategy

June 2023

Contents

Section 1	Risk Management Strategy
Section 2	The Assessment of Risk
Section 3	Risk Appetite
Section 4	Risk Management Group – Terms of Reference
Section 5	Requirement to Complete a Governance Statement
Section 6	Risk Management - Terminology and Definitions
Section 7	Libraries NI – Corporate Risk Register
Section 8	Libraries NI – Corporate Risk Horizon Scanning
Appendix 1	Corporate Risk Register Template
Appendix 2	Corporate Horizon Scanning Template
Appendix 3	Risk Management Group Terms of Reference

1. Risk Management Strategy

1.1 Risk Management Strategy Overview

Risk is inherent in everything we do to deliver high-quality services. Effective risk management helps ensure we identify and manage the uncertainties faced and systematically anticipate and prepare successful responses.

In line with corporate governance best practice, the Accounting Officer is required to prepare a Governance Statement for inclusion in the Annual Report and Accounts. In preparing the Government Statement, the risks facing the organisation, and the mitigation actions taken to address those risks, need to be assessed.

This Risk Management Strategy has been developed in order to support effective risk management and completion of the Governance Statement.

Libraries NI's risk management strategy is set out in a series of sections/documents:

- Risk Management Strategy
- The Assessment of Risk
- Risk Appetite
- Risk Management Group Terms of Reference
- Guide to Governance Statement
- Risk Management Terminology and Definitions
- Libraries NI Corporate Risk Register
- Corporate Risk Horizon Scanning

These should be read in conjunction with:

- Risk Management Policy

1.2 Context for Risk Management

These documents require continuous review and are presented to the Audit and Risk Assurance Committee for endorsement and adoption after every material amendment.

The documents:

- set out Libraries NI's attitude to risk
- establish the definition of a 'Key Risk'
- define the structures for the management and ownership of risk and the management of situations in which control failures may lead to material realisation of risks
- specify the way in which risk issues are to be considered at each level of business planning ranging from the corporate process to the setting of individual staff's objectives
- specify how new activities will be assessed for risk and incorporated into risk management structures
- ensure common understanding of terminology used in relation to risk issues
- define the structures for gaining assurance about the management of risk
- define the criteria which will inform assessment of risk and the definition of specific risks as 'key'
- define the way in which risk register and risk evaluation criteria will be regularly reviewed
- Identify emerging challenges and threats which have the potential to impact on the risk profile of the organisation in the future.

1.3 Risk Management Context

The strategy is also developed with the understanding that risk management is not entirely internal to any organisation. In developing the risk management strategy, Libraries NI has to consider the attitude to risk and the risk priorities of stakeholders and external partners such as the Department for Communities; the Department of Finance; key suppliers of goods and services, the NIAO etc...

The development of this Risk Management Strategy has been based on the requirements and good practice guidelines contained in the following:

- DAO (DFP) 10/12 Requirement to Complete a Governance Statement
- Managing Public Monies NI Annex 3.1 The Governance Statement
- HM Treasury: The Orange Book: Management of Risk – Principles and Concepts (Updated May 2020)
- HM Treasury Governance Risk Assurance Framework – Dec 2012

- HM Treasury: Risk Management Assessment Framework July 2009
- Government Finance Function: Risk Appetite (Guidance Note) October 2020
- National Audit Office Fact Sheet – February 2013
- DAO (DFP) 06/13 Corporate Governance in Central Government Departments: Code of Good Practice – April 2013
- NIAO Good Practice in Risk Management - June 2011

2. Assessment of Risk

2.1 Core Strategic Objectives

In order to help ensure achievement of Libraries NI's objectives, it is necessary to determine where resources should be concentrated to provide effective management of risk. This is outlined in the Libraries NI Corporate Risk Register.

The Libraries NI Corporate Plan identifies Libraries NI's Core Objectives which are translated into annual business plans. The identification of these objectives is advised by policy documents and policy directives from the Minister and the Department for Communities.

Input is also sought from other Libraries NI Stakeholders particularly, Customers, Staff and Board Members. Libraries NI also takes into account professional standards and performance targets which have been established.

2.2 Core Operational Objectives

Each directorate and key project within Libraries NI has established its own Risk Register. These are kept under continuous review. These registers are a record of the risks to the achievement of the operational objectives of each directorate or project and the controls in place or required to manage these risks.

2.3 Key Risk

A risk to a corporate objective where the inherent risk is considered to have a very high likelihood of occurring and a major impact on the achievement of the objective should it occur.

2.4 Horizon Scanning

Increasingly both in the public and private sectors the importance of looking over the horizon and managing upcoming risk is now recognised.

In particular, laws and regulations can have an effect on the risk environment. It is important for an organisation to identify the ways in which laws and regulations will make demands on it, either by requiring the organisation to do certain things or by constraining the actions which the organisation is permitted to take.

2.5 The Assessment of Risk [New evaluation matrix shown below]

		IMPACT				
		1	2	3	4	5
LIKELIHOOD		Low	L-M	Medium	M-H	High
5	High					
4	M-H					
3	Medium					
2	L-M					
1	Low					

The assessment of risk is performed by evaluating both the likelihood of the risk being realised, and of the impact if the risk is realised. –The “5x5” matrix above is used to assess risk. This operates with impact on a scale of “insignificant / minor / moderate/ major/ catastrophic” and likelihood on a scale of “rare / unlikely / possible / likely / almost certain”. Colour (“Traffic Lights”) are used to further clarify the significance of risks.

The assessment of risk is carried out at three levels:

2.5.1 Inherent Risk: Risk is defined as the uncertainty of outcome, whether positive opportunity or negative threat, of actions and events. The risk has to be assessed in respect of the combination of the likelihood of something happening, and the impact which arises if it does actually happen. Risk management includes identifying and assessing risks (the “inherent risks”) and then responding to them i.e. risks that could occur if no internal control framework was in place.

2.5.2 Residual Risk: The response, which is initiated within the organisation, to risk is called “internal control” and may involve one or more of the following:

- tolerating the risk
- treating the risk in an appropriate way to constrain the risk to an acceptable level or actively taking advantage, regarding the uncertainty as an opportunity to gain a benefit
- transferring the risk
- terminating the activity giving rise to the risk.

In any of these cases the issue of opportunity arising from the uncertainty should be considered.

The level of risk remaining after internal control has been exercised (the “residual risk”) is the exposure in respect of that risk, and should be acceptable and justifiable – it should be within the risk appetite.

2.5.3 Treated Risk: Where treated risk exceeds the risk appetite, consideration should be given to providing additional resources to supplement the internal controls (treating), insuring against the risk (transferring) or discontinuing the risky activity (terminating).

Most controls are designed to reduce the likelihood of a risk materialising however, controls are also required to mitigate the impact and extent of a risk should it occur. Managers should draw up contingency plans for the management of situations in which control failure leads to materialisation of risks.

The plans should be communicated to those who are involved in it and critical to its success. Testing of the plans to ensure that they will work when required is also essential.

2.6 Risk Management Reporting and Structures

The following arrangements have been established within Libraries NI:

- A Risk Management Group (RMG) which is chaired by the Director of Business Support and includes representation at senior level from both Business Support and Services. The Head of Internal Audit also attends all meetings of this group. Other officers as required will advise the group.
- The RMG will identify key risks to Core Objectives identified in the Libraries NI Corporate Strategy and Operational Business Plans. They will review the Corporate Risk Register and identify potential changes required for the consideration of the Senior Management Team. The Risk Management Group Terms of Reference is included as Section 4 of this document.
- Line managers (Heads of Service, Heads of Department, Deputy Heads of Service, Service Development Managers etc...) will identify operational risks. Each Directorate has developed its own risk register based on their core operational objectives. These are used to inform the development of the Corporate Risk Register.
- The identification, assessment and management of key risks is an ongoing process, however a formal review of risk is conducted in each Directorate twice a year. The identification of controls required will require the implementation of an action plan, individual actions should be incorporated into the business planning process and individual staff objectives during annual appraisal.
- The RMG will meet formally four times a year in advance of each scheduled ordinary meeting of the Audit and Risk Assurance Committee. A report on risk management issues within Libraries

NI will be developed by the Group and issued to the Senior Management Team for approval. (See RMG Terms of Reference).

- Independent review of the effectiveness of the Risk Management Framework is essential. Risk Management has been identified as a Strategic Area and will be subject to ongoing review by Internal Audit. Assurance on the independence and effectiveness of this review has been strengthened. In March 2021 an External Quality Assessment was completed on the Internal Audit Section of Libraries NI. This assessment concluded that in all areas examined Internal Audit met the standard required and was therefore in compliance with Public Sector Internal Audit Standards.

2.7 Risk Register

The Libraries NI Corporate Risk Register has been designed to record all key risks to core objectives in a structured way. There is a record of dependencies between risks, identifying the risks together that may impact on each key objective. It identifies the inherent risk rating (both impact and likelihood) and identifies the risk owner.

This risk owner has been delegated responsibility for keeping the risks to the objective under review, identifying what factors may contribute to the realisation of the risks, ensuring a control framework is in place to reduce the likelihood of the risk occurring or to reduce the impact of the risk should it occur.

An assessment of residual risk (with controls in place) is then completed. If the residual risk rating is still greater than is acceptable as defined by the Risk Appetite, additional controls and an action plan to put them in place are required.

The 'risk owner' must have the authority to assign resources to ensure appropriate management of the risk.

3. Risk Appetite

3.1 Risk Appetite: Definition

Risk appetite is the amount of risk to which the organisation is prepared to be exposed before it judges action to be necessary. The fact that the resources available to control risks are likely to be limited means that value for money decisions have to be made – what resource cost is it appropriate to incur to achieve a certain level of control in respect of the risk? Apart from the most extreme circumstances it is unusual for good value for money to be obtained from any particular risk being completely obviated with total certainty.

Risk appetite may be very specific in relation to a particular risk, or it may be more generic in the sense that the total number of risks, which an organisation is prepared to accept at any one time will have a limit.

The successful application of risk appetite is about taking well thought through risks where the long-term rewards are expected to be greater than any short-term losses.

When considering threats, the concept of risk appetite embraces the level of exposure which is considered tolerable and justifiable should it be realised. In this sense it is about comparing the cost (financial or otherwise) of constraining the risk with the cost of the exposure should the exposure become a reality and finding an acceptable balance.

3.2 Identification of Risk Appetite

In consequence every organisation has to identify its risk appetite. Decisions about response to risk have to be taken in conjunction with an identification of the amount of risk that can be tolerated. Any particular organisation is unlikely to have a single risk appetite. The tolerable extent of risk will vary according to the perceived importance of particular risks. For example, tolerable financial loss may vary in accordance with a range of features including the size of the relevant budget, the source of the loss, or associated other risks such as adverse publicity. The control responses therefore have to be considered in detail to identify the appropriate balance of potential realisation of risk against the costs of limiting that risk.

The most significant issue is that it is unlikely, except for most extreme risks that any particular risk will need to be completely and absolutely obviated. Identification of risk appetite is a subjective (rather than an objective or scientific) issue.

3.3 Risk Tolerance

An awareness and understanding of the current risk tolerances of various stakeholders is a key ingredient in establishing the corporate risk profile. The environmental scan will identify stakeholders affected by an organisation's decisions and actions, and their degree of comfort with various levels of risk. Understanding the current state of risk

tolerance of citizens, parliamentarians, interest groups, suppliers, as well as other government departments will assist in developing a risk profile and making decisions on what risks must be managed, how, and to what extent.





In the public service, citizens' needs and expectations are paramount. For example, most citizens would likely have a low risk tolerance for public health and safety issues (injuries, fatalities). Other risk tolerances for issues such as project delays and slower service delivery may be less obvious and may require more consultation.

In general, there is a lower risk tolerance for the unknown, where impacts are new, unobservable or delayed. There are higher risk tolerances where people feel more in control (for example, there is usually a higher risk tolerance for automobile travel than for air travel).

Risk tolerances may change over time as new information and outcomes become available, as societal expectations evolve and as a result of stakeholder engagement on trade-offs.

It should be noted that some risk is unavoidable and it is not within the ability of the organisation to completely manage it to a tolerable level – for example organisations have to accept that there is a risk arising from the possibility of a pandemic which they cannot control. In these cases, the organisation needs to make contingency plans which will help to reduce the impact should the risk be realised.

3.4 Risk Appetite [New risk appetite definition shown below]

Target	Definition
	<p><u>Averse</u> Avoidance of risk and uncertainty is a key objective with a preference for ultra-safe options.</p>
	<p><u>Cautious</u> Preference for safe options that have a low to moderate degree of residual risk and may have limited potential for reward</p>
	<p><u>Open</u> Willing to consider all options and choose the one that is most likely to result in successful delivery while also providing an acceptable level of reward. Will have a moderate degree of residual risk.</p>
	<p><u>Hungry</u> Eager to be innovative and to choose options based on potential higher rewards (despite greater inherent risk). Will have a high degree of residual risk.</p>

With the risk factors defined, individual risks can be assessed against the risk appetite descriptors to determine whether the optimum level of residual risk has been reached.

3.5 Risk Appetite within Libraries NI

Libraries NI's risk appetite is conditioned by many issues. Among the more important of these are government statute and guidelines. Much of Libraries NI's attitude to risk is driven by the attitude of the host department, the Department for Communities.

Eight key categories of risk have been identified. A description of the context of each issue is included. The assessment of corporate risk appetite has been taken by the Risk Management Group and has been presented to the Audit and Risk Assurance Committee for their consideration.

Participation and Relevance: The risk appetite for this objective has been determined as being **Open**.

Stakeholder Engagement: The risk appetite for this objective has been determined as being **Open**.

Staff: The risk appetite for this objective has been determined as being **Cautious**.

Corporate Governance: The risk appetite for this objective has been determined as being **Averse**.

Resources: The risk appetite for this objective has been determined as being **Cautious**.

Planning: The risk appetite for this objective has been determined as being **Open**.

Information Security: The risk appetite for this objective has been determined as being **Averse**.

Business Continuity: The risk appetite for this objective has been determined as being **Averse**.

3.6 The risk appetite will be review on an annual basis.

4. Risk Management Group – Terms of Reference

4.1 Constitution

Libraries NI has established a Risk Management Group (RMG) as an officers' working group with responsibilities for issues of risk, control and governance. Its remit is to ensure that the Corporate Risk Register is kept up to date and is an accurate reflection of the risks facing the organisation. The Group is also tasked with ensuring that the controls in place are adequate to mitigate key risks and where that is not the case, an action plan is developed whereby additional controls can be implemented.

The Terms of Reference for the Risk Management Group is attached as Appendix 3.

5. Requirement to Complete a Governance Statement

5.1 Introduction

Annex 3.1 of Managing Public Monies Northern Ireland (MPMNI) issued by the former Department of Finance and Personnel in October 2012 contains guidance on the completion of the Governance Statement. It is fundamental to each accounting officer's responsibilities to manage and control the resources used in his or her organisation. The governance statement, a key feature of the organisation's annual report and accounts, manifests how these duties have been carried out in the course of the year. It should encompass discussion of both corporate governance and risk management matters.

There is no set template for the governance statement however the following listing summarises subjects that should always be covered.

- the governance framework of the organisation, including information about the Board's committee structure, its attendance records, and the coverage of its work
- the Board's performance, including its assessment of its own effectiveness
- highlights of Board / Committee reports, notably by the Audit and Risk Assurance Committee
- an account of corporate governance, including the Board's assessment of its compliance with the *Corporate Governance Code*, with explanations of any departures
- information about the quality of the data used by the Board, and why the Board finds it acceptable
- a record of any ministerial directions given, subject to a public interest test
- a risk assessment, including the organisation's risk profile, and how it is managed, including, subject to a public interest test:
 - any newly identified risk
 - a summary of any significant lapses of protective security (e.g. data losses).

5.2 Internal Control Divergences

In putting together the governance statement, the Accounting Officer needs to take a view on the extent to which items are significant enough to the welfare of the organisation as a whole to be worth recording. Where potential internal control divergences have been identified the following factors should be taken into account;

- might the issue prejudice achievement of the business plan? – or other priorities?
- could the issue undermine the integrity or reputation of the organisation?
- what view does the Board's Audit and Risk Assurance Committee take on the point?
- what advice or opinions have internal audit and/or external audit given?
- could delivery of the standards expected of the AO be at risk?
- might the issue make it harder to resist fraud or other misuse of resources?
- does the issue put a significant programme or project at risk?
- could the issue divert resources from another significant aspect of the business?
- could the issue have a material impact on the accounts?
- might national security or data integrity be put at risk?

5.3 Completion of Governance Statement

The Governance Statement provides assurance as to the effectiveness of the risk, control and governance framework within Libraries NI. It requires ongoing and continuous consideration of whether appropriate risk management, control and review processes are in place within all levels of the organisation to support its completion.

6. Risk Management – Terminology and Definitions

6.1 Introduction

Risk management is part of the broader management processes of organisations. Where terms related to risk management are used in a policy or procedure, it is imperative that their intended meanings within that context are not misinterpreted or misunderstood. Accordingly, this Guide provides definitions for the various meanings that each term is likely to have.

6.2 Terminology and Definitions

6.2.1 Risk

Combination of the likelihood of an event and its impact

6.2.2 Key Risk

A risk to a corporate objective where the inherent risk is considered to have a very high likelihood of occurring and a major impact on the achievement of the objective should it occur.

6.2.3 Assessment of Risk

Overall process of risk analysis and risk evaluation

6.2.4 Risk analysis

Systematic use of information to identify sources and to estimate the risk

6.2.5 Risk identification

Process to find, list and characterise elements of risk

6.2.6 Inherent Risk

The combination of the likelihood of an event and its impact assessed before the implementation of a control framework

6.2.7 Impact

The effect that the material realisation of a risk will have on the achievement of an objective. The material realisation of a risk can have impact on more than one identified objective.

6.2.8 Likelihood

The frequency of or extent to which an event is likely to occur

6.2.9 Event

Occurrence of a particular set of circumstances

6.2.10 Contributing Factors

Issues which may precipitate or facilitate the realisation of an event.

- 6.2.11 Risk criteria**
Terms of reference by which the significance of risk is assessed
- 6.2.12 Risk management**
Co-ordinated activities to direct and control an organisation with regard to risk
- 6.2.13 Residual risk**
Assessment of risk remaining after the implementation of existing controls.
- 6.2.14 Stakeholder**
Any individual, group or organisation that can affect, be affected by, or perceive itself to be affected by, a risk
- 6.2.15 Risk optimisation**
Process, related to a risk, to minimise the negative and to maximise the positive consequences and their respective probabilities
- 6.2.16 Risk reduction**
Actions taken to lessen the probability, negative consequences or both, associated with a risk
- 6.2.17 Mitigation**
Limitation of any negative consequence of a particular event
- 6.2.18 Risk Appetite**
The amount of risk to which an organisation is prepared to be exposed.
- 6.2.19 Treated Risk**
The assessed risk level remaining after all planned actions and controls have been implemented.

7. Libraries NI - Corporate Risk Register Template

See attached document

8. Libraries NI - Corporate Horizon Scanning Template

See attached document

Risk 1:									
Risk Owner:								Risk Appetite:	
Threat / Opportunity	Inherent Risk		How risk is currently being managed	Residual Risk		Additional Action Plan (including Responsible Officer and completion date)	Treated Risk		
	Imp	Like		Imp	Like		Imp	Like	
Consequences of risk materialising:									

CORPORATE RISK HORIZON SCANNING

[Insert Date]

- 1. In reviewing and updating the Corporate Risk Register the Risk Management Group endeavours to identify emerging challenges and threats which have the potential to impact on the risk profile of the organisation in the future. This horizon scanning is undertaken with a view to anticipating future risks and challenges and better preparing to meet them should they materialise.
- 2. The following potential issues have been identified:

	Subject	Issue
1.		
2.		
3.		
4.		
5.		

RISK MANAGEMENT GROUP - TERMS OF REFERENCE (Revised MAY 2023)

1.0 CONSTITUTION

Libraries NI has established a Risk Management Group (RMG) as an Executive Committee with responsibilities for issues of risk, control and governance. Its primary remit is to ensure that the Libraries NI Corporate Risk Register is kept up to date and is an accurate reflection of the risks facing the organisation. The Group is also tasked with ensuring that the controls in place are adequate to mitigate key risks and where that is not the case, additional resource requirements are identified to facilitate the develop of an action plan whereby additional controls can be implemented.

2.0 MEMBERSHIP

The recommended term of appointment is for a maximum of two years with changes to membership staggered to ensure continuity. The members of the RMG (May 2023) are:

- Desi Miskelly - Director of Business Support & Chair)
- Tim Neeson (Assets Manager)
- Margaret Bell (Head of Service)
- Sean Beattie (Head of Service)
- Desi Curry (Head of ICU/ICT)
- The Head of Internal Audit

3.0 REPORTING

The RMG will formally report in writing to the Senior Management Team following each meeting. (The Senior Management Team will in turn report on matters of risk and governance to the ARAC and/or Board as appropriate)

4.0 RESPONSIBILITIES

The objectives of the Risk Management Group are as follows:

- Undertake an annual review of the Risk Management Strategy, Fraud Risk Analysis and such relevant risk management policies/procedures as are scheduled for review.
- Review the Corporate Risk Register quarterly, including consideration of the adequacy of existing controls and any additional actions and

identification of suggested changes to be submitted to SMT and subsequently to the Audit and Risk Assurance Committee (ARAC).

- 'Scan the Horizon' to identify external risks that may in the future have an impact on the ability of Libraries NI to meet its core objectives
- Raise awareness of risk throughout the organisation

5.0 RIGHTS

The RMG may:

- co-opt additional members to provide specialist skills, knowledge and experience
- The RMG may ask other officials of Libraries NI to attend to assist it with its discussions on any particular matter;
- procure specialist ad-hoc advice at the expense of Libraries NI, subject to agreed budgets.

6.0 MEETINGS

RMG normally meets four times a year in advance of each routine meeting of the ARAC. In advance of scheduled ARAC meetings the RMG will provide SMT with a report of business conducted (minutes of the meeting, revised Corporate Risk Register and horizon scanning document so that SMT may consider and make such subsequent reports to ARAC as are required. To allow time for the preparation of the report from RMG to SMT, it is recommended that the RMG meeting is held two weeks before SMT which normally falls on the first Tuesday of each month.

The Director of Business Support will act as Chair ensuring that an agenda is set for and minutes and action plans recorded from each meeting of the Group.

The RMG may ask other officials of Libraries NI to attend to assist it with its discussions on any particular matter;